

Notes and Solutions for the Book:
Signals And Systems by
Alan V. Oppenheim and
Alan S. Willsky with
S. Hamid Nawab.

John L. Weatherwax*

January 19, 2006

*wax@alum.mit.edu

Chapter 1: Signals and Systems

Problem Solutions

Problem 1.3 (computing P_∞ and E_∞ for some sample signals)

Recall that P_∞ and E_∞ (the total power and total energy) in the case of continuous and discrete signals are defined as

$$E_\infty = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(t)|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad E_\infty = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]|^2,$$

and

$$P_\infty = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |x(t)|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad P_\infty = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N |x[n]|^2.$$

Using these we can compute each part of the given problem.

Part (a): For this signal we find

$$E_\infty = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-4t} dt = \left. \frac{e^{-4t}}{-4} \right|_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{4},$$

and

$$P_\infty = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T e^{-4t} dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left(\left. \frac{e^{-4t}}{-4} \right|_0^T \right) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8T} (1 - e^{-4T}) = 0,$$

which we could have known without any calculation since $x(t)$ has finite energy it must have 0 time-averaged power.

Part (b): For this signal we find $E_\infty = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 1 dt = +\infty$, and $P_\infty = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{+T} 1 dt = 1$.

Part (c): For this signal we find

$$E_\infty = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\cos(t)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(t)^2 dt = +\infty.$$

and

$$P_\infty = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} \cos(t)^2 dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} (T + \cos(T) \sin(T)) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Part (d): For this signal we find

$$E_\infty = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n = \frac{1}{1 - 1/4} = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$P_\infty = 0,$$

since E_∞ is finite.

Part (e): For this signal we find

$$E_\infty = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1 = \infty$$

$$P_\infty = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N + 1} \sum_{n=-N}^N |x[n]|^2$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N + 1} (N - (-N) + 1) = 1.$$

Part (f): For this signal we find

$$E_\infty = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}n\right) \right|^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(4k + 0)\right) \right|^2 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(4k + 1)\right) \right|^2$$

$$+ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(4k + 2)\right) \right|^2 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(4k + 3)\right) \right|^2$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\cos(\pi k)|^2 = \infty.$$

To compute P_∞ we have

$$P_\infty = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N + 1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}n\right) \right|^2.$$

Now recall that $\cos(x) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{jx} + e^{-jx})$, so that

$$|\cos(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{4}(e^{jx} + e^{-jx})(e^{-jx} + e^{jx})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4}(1 + e^{j(2x)} + e^{-j(2x)} + 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $|\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}n)$. Now the $\cos(\cdot)$ in this later expression evaluates to

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^m & n \text{ even say } n = 2m \end{cases} .$$

With this then the sum above needed in computing P_∞ is given by

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{n=-T}^T |x[n]|^2 = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2(2M)} \left((2M) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-M}^M (-1)^m \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2},$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$

Problem 1.4 (when is $x[n]$ zero)

Part (a): $x[n-3]$ shifts $x[n]$ to the right by 3 so $x[n-3]$ will be zero when $n < 1$ and $n > 7$.

Part (b): $x[n+4]$ shifts $x[n]$ to the left by 4 so $x[n+4]$ will be zero when $n < -6$ and $n > 0$.

Part (c): $x[-n]$ reflects $x[n]$ about zero so $x[-n]$ will be zero for $n < -4$ and $n > 2$.

Part (d): Note that $x[-n+2]$ equals $x[-(n-2)]$ which is a right shift of $x[-n]$ by 2, so this function will be zero when $n < -2$ and $n > 4$.

Part (e): Note that $x[-n-2]$ equals $x[-(n+2)]$ which is a left shift of $x[-n]$ by 2, so this function will be zero when $n < -6$ and $n > 0$.

Problem 1.5 (when is $x(t)$ zero)

Part (a): Now $x(1-t) = x(-(t-1))$ which is a right shift by one of the function $x(-t)$. The function $x(-t)$ is zero when $t > -3$. So shifting this function one unit to the right means that $x(1-t)$ will be zero when $t > -2$.

Part (b): Now that $x(1-t) + x(2-t) = x(-(t-1)) + x(-(t-2))$. The first function, $x(-(t-1))$, was considered in Part (a) above and is zero when $t > -2$ by the logic above. The second function $x(-(t-2))$ is zero when $t > -1$ so the sum will be guaranteed to be zero for $t > -1$.

Part (c): In the product $x(1-t)x(2-t)$ the first function is zero when $t > -2$, while the second function is zero when $t > -1$, thus the product will be zero when $t > -2$.

Part (d): If $x(t)$ is zero when $t < 3$ then $x(3t)$ will be zero when $3t < 3$ or $t < 1$.

Part (e): If $x(t)$ is zero when $t < 3$ then $x(t/3)$ will be zero when $t/3 < 3$ or $t < 9$.

Problem 1.6 (are these functions periodic)

Part (a): No. The function $u(t)$ in the definition of $x(t)$ is not periodic.

Part (b): Note that $x_2[n] = 2$ when $n = 0$ and $x_2[n] = 1$ when $n \neq 0$, which shows that $x_2[n]$ is not periodic because of the value at $n = 0$.

Part (c): For the function $x_3[n] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\delta[n-4k] - \delta[n-1-4k]\}$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} x_3[0] &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\delta[-4k] - \delta[-1-4k]\} = 1 \\ x_3[1] &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\delta[1-4k] - \delta[-4k]\} = -1 \\ x_3[2] &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\delta[2-4k] - \delta[1-4k]\} = 0 \\ x_3[3] &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\delta[3-4k] - \delta[2-4k]\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and this cycle of numbers 1, -1, 0, 0 repeats, showing that the sequence $x_3[n]$ is periodic.

Problem 1.7 (when is the even part zero)

For this problem recall that the *even* part of a discrete signal $x[n]$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}v\{x[n]\} = \frac{1}{2}(x[n] + x[-n]). \quad (1)$$

Part (a): For this signal we find

$$\mathcal{E}v\{x_1[n]\} = \frac{1}{2}(u[n] - u[n-4]) + \frac{1}{2}(u[-n] - u[4-n]).$$

Now the first expression $u[n] - u[n - 4]$ represents the difference between $u[n]$ and a right shift of $u[n]$ by four units. This expression then is only non-zero when $0 \leq n < 3$ where for those four points it has the value 1. The second expression $u[-n] - u[-(n - 4)]$ represents the difference between $u[-n]$ and a right shift of $u[-n]$ by four. This expression will be non-zero only for $1 \leq n \leq 3$ where it will have the value of -1 . When we add these two parts together (and multiply by $1/2$) we see that $\mathcal{E}v\{x_1[n]\}$ is zero for all n but $n = 0$ where it has the value of $1/2$ and for $n = 4$ where it has the value $-1/2$. Plotting the functions $u[n] - u[n - 4]$ and $u[-n] - u[4 - n]$ can help visualize this.

Part (b): For this signal we find

$$\mathcal{E}v\{x(t)\} = \frac{1}{2}(\sin(\frac{t}{2}) + \sin(-\frac{t}{2})) = 0,$$

for all t .

Part (c): For this signal we find

$$\mathcal{E}v\{x[n]\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n - 3] + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-n} u[-n - 3] \right).$$

The first term is zero when $n < 3$. The second term is zero when $n > -3$. Thus the total combined expression is zero when $|n| < 3$. The given even signal from $x[n]$ also vanishes as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$.

Part (d): For this signal we find

$$\mathcal{E}v\{x(t)\} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-5t}u(t + 2) + e^{5t}u(-t + 2)).$$

The first term is zero when $t < -2$. The second term is zero when $t > 2$ so there is no part guaranteed to be zero but the entire expression goes to zero as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Problem 1.9 (finding periods)

Part (a): The function je^{j10t} is periodic with a period T that is required to satisfy

$$10(t + T) = 10t + 2\pi \quad \text{or} \quad T = \frac{2\pi}{10} = \frac{\pi}{5}.$$

Part (b): The function $e^{-t}e^{-jt}$ is not periodic, since e^{-t} causes the periodic part e^{-jt} to decay.

Part (c): For the discrete function $x[n] = e^{j7\pi n}$ to be periodic requires there exist integers m and N such that

$$7\pi N = 2\pi m \quad \text{so} \quad N = \frac{2}{7}m.$$

If we take $m = 7$ then we get a fundamental period of $N = 2$.

Part (d): The given function $x[n]$ can be written as

$$x[n] = 3e^{j3\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})/5} = 3e^{j\frac{3\pi}{5}}e^{j\frac{3\pi n}{5}},$$

and will be periodic if there exists integers m and N such that

$$N = m \frac{2\pi}{\left(\frac{3\pi}{5}\right)} = m \left(\frac{10}{3}\right).$$

Thus we take $m = 3$ to get a fundamental period of $N = 10$.

Part (e): For the signal $x_5[n] = 3e^{j(3/5)(n+1/2)} = 3e^{j(3/10)}e^{j(3/5)n}$, to be periodic we require there exist integers m and N such that

$$\frac{3}{5}(n + N) = \frac{3}{5}n + 2\pi m,$$

or

$$\frac{3}{5}N = 2\pi m \quad \text{so} \quad N = \frac{10}{3}\pi m.$$

From this last equation we see that there is no integer value of m that will result in an integer value of N . Thus the signal $x_5[n]$ is not periodic.

Problem 1.10 (the fundamental period of a trigonometric sum)

To find the fundamental period of $x(t) = 2\cos(10t + 1) - \sin(4t - 1)$ we look for the least common multiple of the fundamental periods for each of the component terms in $x(t)$. The fundamental period of the first term $\cos(10t + 1)$ is $T = \frac{2\pi}{10} = \frac{\pi}{5}$, while the fundamental period of the second term $\sin(4t - 1)$ is $T = \frac{2\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{2}$. If we take five multiples of the first period and two multiples of the second period the elapsed time of both is π , which is the fundamental period of the combined expression $x(t)$.

Problem 1.11 (the fundamental period of a trigonometric sum)

For the discrete signal $x[n] = 1 + e^{j\frac{4\pi}{7}n} - e^{j\frac{2\pi}{5}n}$ the fundamental period for the function $e^{j\frac{4\pi}{7}n}$ is given by the smallest integer value of N such that

$$N = \frac{2\pi}{\left(\frac{4\pi}{7}\right)}m = \frac{7}{2}m,$$

so if we take $m = 2$ we get $N = 7$. In the same way, the fundamental period for $e^{j\frac{2\pi}{5}n}$ is given by selecting a m so that N in

$$N = \frac{2\pi}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)}m = 5m,$$

is as small as possible and an integer. Taking $m = 1$ we get a fundamental period $N = 5$. To get the fundamental period of the combined expression we see the least common multiple of 7 and 5 or 35.

Problem 1.12 (summing shifted delta functions)

Note that $x[n]$ can be written as

$$x[n] = 1 - \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \delta[n - 1 - k] = 1 - \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \delta[n - (k + 1)],$$

or the sum of shifted $\delta[n]$ functions, each shifted by $k + 1$ to the right of the origin. Since the range of $k + 1$ for the summation values given is 4, 5, 6, ... when we view $-\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \delta[n - (k + 1)]$ as a function n we see that it is equal to $-u[n - 4]$. When we add 1 to $-u[n - 4]$ to get the sum above we get the function $u[-(n - 3)] = u[-n + 3]$. To match the given expression of $u[Mn - n_0]$ we should take $M = -1$ and $n_0 = -3$.

Problem 1.13 (the integral of some delta functions)

Note that the given function $x(t) = \delta(t + 2) - \delta(t - 2)$ results in a function $y(t)$ that can be expressed as

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t x(\tau) d\tau = \begin{cases} 0 & t < -2 \\ 1 & -2 < t < 2 \\ 0 & 2 < t \end{cases} .$$

Using this expression we can compute

$$E_\infty = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |y(\tau)|^2 d\tau = \int_{-2}^2 d\tau = 4.$$

Problem 1.14 (the derivative of a discontinuous periodic function)

For the given periodic extension of the function $x(t)$ defined over a fundamental period $T = 2$ as

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ -2 & 1 < t < 2 \end{cases},$$

by drawing this function over $(-\infty, +\infty)$ we see that the derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= 3\delta(t) \\ &- 3\delta(t-1) + 3\delta(t-2) - 3\delta(t-3) + \dots \\ &- 3\delta(t+1) + 3\delta(t+2) - 3\delta(t+3) + \dots \\ &= 3\delta(t) \\ &+ 3\delta(t-2) + 3\delta(t+2) + 3\delta(t-4) + 3\delta(t+4) + \dots \\ &- 3\delta(t-1) - 3\delta(t+1) - 3\delta(t-3) - 3\delta(t+3) - \dots \\ &= 3 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t-2k) - 3 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t-2k-1) \\ &= 3g(t) - 3g(t-1). \end{aligned}$$

So to match the expression suggested in the book we need to take $A_1 = 3$, $t_1 = 0$, $A_2 = -3$, and $t_2 = 1$.

Problem 1.15 (serial systems)

Part (a): After processing the input signal $x[n]$ by the system S_1 the output $y_1[n]$ is

$$y_1[n] = 2x[n] + 4x[n-1],$$

so that after system S_2 processes $y_1[n]$ as an input it produces the output $y[n]$ given by

$$y[n] = y_1[n-2] + \frac{1}{2}y_1[n-3]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2x[n-2] + 4x[n-3] + \frac{1}{2}(2x[n-3] + 4x[n-4]) \\
&= 2x[n-2] + 5x[n-3] + 2x[n-4].
\end{aligned}$$

Part (b): If we reverse the order of the two systems then after the second system S_2 processes the input $x[n]$ we obtain an output $y_1[n]$ given by

$$y_1[n] = x[n-2] + \frac{1}{2}x[n-3].$$

Passing $y_1[n]$ as an input through the system S_1 we get a final output $y[n]$ of

$$\begin{aligned}
y[n] &= 2(x[n-2] + \frac{1}{2}x[n-3]) + 4(x[n-3] + \frac{1}{2}x[n-4]) \\
&= 2x[n-2] + 5x[n-3] + 2x[n-4],
\end{aligned}$$

which is the same result as obtained earlier.

Problem 1.16 (memoryless systems)

Part (a): The system $x[n]x[n-2]$ is not memoryless since it depends on a past value in $x[n-2]$.

Part (b): The output when the input to this system is $A\delta[n]$ is $y[n] = 0$ for all n .

Part (c): This system cannot be invertible since a non-zero input signal gives the zero signal.

Problem 1.17 (some properties of the system $x(\sin(t))$)

Part (a): This system would *not* be causal if $\sin(t) > t$ for any t because then we would be observing the input $x(t)$ at a point in time in the future. Since when $t = -\pi$ we have $\sin(-\pi) = 0 > -\pi$ this system is not causal.

Part (b): This system is linear.

Problem 1.18 (the centered averaging system)

Part (a): This is a linear system.

Part (b): To see if this system is time invariant consider the output produced by the input $x[n-l]$ or a time shift by l units of $x[n]$ to the right. The output

to this system to this input $x[n - l]$ is given by

$$\sum_{k=n-n_0}^{n+n_0} x[k - l] = \sum_{k=n-l-n_0}^{n-l+n_0} x[k] = y[n - l],$$

so this system *is* time invariant.

Part (c): We have the following

$$|y[n]| \leq \sum_{k=n-n_0}^{n+n_0} |x[k]| \leq B(n + n_0 - (n - n_0) + 1) = B(2n_0 + 1).$$

Thus we see that $C = (2n_0 + 1)B$.

Problem 1.19 (some more properties of systems)

Part (a): This system $t^2x(t - 1)$ is linear but not time invariant.

Part (b): This system $x[n - 2]^2$ is not linear but is time invariant since the output from the input $x[n - n_0]$ is $x^2[n - n_0 - 2] = y[n - n_0]$.

Part (c): This system is linear and time invariant.

Part (d): Since

$$y[n] = \mathcal{O}d\{x[n]\} = \frac{1}{2}(x[n] - x[-n]),$$

we see that this is a linear system. To see if it is time invariant, consider the output to the time-shifted input $x[n - n_0]$. We would get (applying $\mathcal{O}d\{\cdot\}$ to the input $x[n - n_0]$)

$$\frac{1}{2}(x[n - n_0] - x[-n - n_0]).$$

While the shifted output would be

$$y[n - n_0] = \frac{1}{2}(x[n - n_0] - x[-(n - n_0)]),$$

which is *not* the same as the system operating on $x[n - n_0]$ so this system is not time invariant.

Problem 1.20 (using linearity)

Part (a): We recognized that $x_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{j2t} + e^{-j2t})$ and then use the linearity property of our system to get that $x_1(t)$ will be mapped to

$$x_1(t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(e^{j3t} + e^{-j3t}) = \cos(3t).$$

Part (b): We begin by writing $x_2(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(t) &= \cos(2t - 1) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{j(2t-1)} + e^{-j(2t-1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{-j}e^{j2t} + e^je^{-j2t}). \end{aligned}$$

Again using linearity, we have that the mapping of $x_2(t)$ under our system (denoted as $y_2(t)$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{-j}e^{j3t} + e^je^{-j3t}) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{j(3t-1)} + e^{-j(3t-1)}) \\ &= \cos(3t - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 1.21 (shifting and scaling continuously)

Part (a): This is a shift of the signal $x(t)$ one unit to the right.

Part (b): Since $x(2 - t) = x(-(t - 2))$ which is $x(t)$ flipped about the $t = 0$ axis (to produce the function $x(-t)$) and then shifted by two units to the right.

Part (c): Since $x(2t + 1) = x(2(t + 1/2))$ and this later function is $x(2t)$ shifted by $1/2$ to the left. The function $x(2t)$ is a contraction of the t axis in the original function $x(t)$ by two.

Part (d): Now $x(4 - \frac{t}{2}) = x(-\frac{1}{2}(t - 8))$ and this later function is a shift by 8 units to the right of the function $x(-\frac{t}{2})$. The function $x(-\frac{t}{2})$ is an expanded reflection about the $t = 0$ axis.

Part (e): Note that $(x(t) + x(-t))u(t)$ is the scaled even part of $x(t)$ but only for $t > 0$.

Part (f): Note that the given function can be written

$$x(t)(\delta(t + \frac{3}{2}) - \delta(t - \frac{3}{2})) = x(-\frac{3}{2})\delta(t + \frac{3}{2}) - x(\frac{3}{2})\delta(t - \frac{3}{2}),$$

is the superposition of two delta functions.

Problem 1.22 (shifting and scaling discretely)

Since the support of $x[n]$ is so small most of these example functions can be plotted by just evaluating the proposed function at several values of n . One thing to note about these examples is that in the discrete case when we perform scaling of the time axis via multiplication of the independent variable n by a constant we may end up getting a signal that is defined for fewer points than the original function. See Part (c) below for an example of this

Part (a): This is a shift of $x[n]$ by four units to the right.

Part (b): This is a shift of the discrete function $x[-n]$ by three units to the right when we write $x[3 - n] = x[-(n - 3)]$.

Part (c): This is a contraction of the time axis by three and will represent fewer samples than the original $x[n]$ since points like $x[2]$ in the original discrete function will never be observed by new function $x[3n]$.

Part (d): The same comments as for Part (c) hold here.

Part (e): Note that $x[n]u[3 - n] = x[n]u[-(n - 3)]$. Now since $u[-(n - 3)]$ is a shift by three units to the right of the function $u[-n]$, which is itself a reflection across the point $n = 0$ we see that $x[n]u[3 - n]$ represents $x[n]$ for $n \leq 3$ and is zero for $n > 4$.

Part (f): This is a scaled single delta function since

$$x[n - 2]\delta[n - 2] = x[0]\delta[n - 2].$$

Part (g): Note that the suggested function

$$\frac{1}{2}x[n] + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^n x[n],$$

will be $x[n]$ when n even and will be zero when n is odd.

Part (h): Note that $x[(n - 1)^2]$ will be a nonlinear mapping of the time domain of $x[n]$ due to the expression $(n - 1)^2$.

Problem 1.25 (some fundamental periods)

Part (a): This is a periodic function with a fundamental period given by $T = \frac{2\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Part (b): This is a periodic function with a fundamental period given by $T = \frac{2\pi}{\pi} = 2$.

Part (c): One might think that because $\cos(x)$ is periodic with a period 2π that $\cos(x)^2$ would be periodic with period also with a period of 2π . The function $\cos(x)^2$ is indeed periodic but with a period that is *half* the period of $\cos(x)$. To see this recall the identity

$$\cos(x)^2 = \frac{1 + \cos(2x)}{2}, \quad (2)$$

where the expression on the right-hand-side has a period of $\frac{2\pi}{2} = \pi$ as claimed. For this problem write the given expression as

$$\cos(2t - \frac{\pi}{3})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(2(2t - \frac{\pi}{3}))) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(4t - \frac{2\pi}{3})),$$

From which we see that this is a periodic function with a fundamental period given by $T = \frac{2\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Part (d): Since the function we are too consider is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}v\{\cos(4\pi t)u(t)\} &= \frac{1}{2}(\cos(4\pi t)u(t) + \cos(4\pi t)u(-t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\cos(4\pi t), \end{aligned}$$

which is periodic with a fundamental period given by $\frac{2\pi}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Part (e): Since the given expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}v\{\sin(4\pi t)u(t)\} &= \frac{1}{2}(\sin(4\pi t)u(t) - \sin(4\pi t)u(-t)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sin(4\pi t) & t > 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sin(4\pi t) & t < 0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that this function is not periodic since across $t = 0$ it is not.

Part (f): This function cannot be periodic since it is the sum of an infinite number of functions of $v(t) = e^{-2t}u(2t) = e^{-2t}u(t)$ each one individually shifted by n units to the right. Note that due to the discontinuous nature of the unit step $u(t)$ we have that $u(2t) = u(t)$. When the total signal $x(t)$ is viewed in this way we see that because of the unit step $u(t)$ functions in each of the component functions $v(t)$, there a great number of jump discontinuities to the function $x(t)$. For example, at every integer $n \geq 1$ a new term $e^{-(2t-n)}$ gets added to the sum resulting in a discontinuity.

Problem 1.26 (discrete periodic functions)

For a discrete signal to be periodic requires that there exist integers N and m such that

$$\omega_0(n + N) = \omega_0 n + 2\pi m,$$

or

$$\omega_0 N = 2\pi m.$$

Part (a): For this function N and m must satisfy $\frac{6\pi}{7}N = 2\pi m$ or $N = \frac{7m}{3}$. If we take $m = 3$ then $N = 7$ will be the fundamental period of this function.

Part (b): For this function N and m must satisfy $\frac{1}{8}N = 2\pi m$ or $N = 16\pi m$. Since there are no two integers N and m which will make this an identity this function is *not* periodic.

Part (c): For this function $x[n] = \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}n^2)$ to be periodic with period N means that $x[n + N] = x[n]$ for all n . This requires

$$\cos(\frac{\pi}{8}(n^2 + 2nN + N^2)) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}n^2),$$

which in turn requires

$$\frac{\pi}{4}nN + \frac{\pi}{8}N^2,$$

proportional to 2π for all n . If we take $N = 8$ we see that this is indeed true and thus $x[n]$ is periodic with fundamental period $N = 8$.

Part (d): Use the fact that

$$\cos(x) \cos(y) = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(x + y) + \cos(x - y)). \quad (3)$$

we can write the given function as

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(\frac{3\pi}{4}n) + \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n)).$$

The first function $\cos(\frac{3\pi}{4}n)$ has a fundamental period given by $\frac{3\pi}{4}N = 2\pi m$ or $N = \frac{8}{3}m$. If we take $m = 3$ then we get a fundamental period of $N = 8$. The second function $\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n)$ has a fundamental period given by $\frac{\pi}{4}N = 2\pi m$ or $N = 8m$. If we take $m = 1$ then we get a fundamental period of $N = 8$. Thus $x[n]$ is periodic with fundamental period of $N = 8$.

Part (e): For the function

$$x[n] = 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{8}n) - 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}n + \frac{\pi}{8}).$$

We will compute the fundamental period of each term. The first term has a fundamental period of $N = 8$. The second term has a fundamental period of $N = 16$. The third term has a fundamental period of $N = 4$. The total function $x[n]$ then will be periodic with a period of 16.

Problem 1.27 (some properties of systems)

Part (a): This system is not memoryless since it has a term $x(2 - t)$ which indicates that the output depends on the past. This system is not time invariant, since the output to a time shifted input $x(t - t_0)$ is

$$x(t - 2 - t_0) + x(2 - t - t_0),$$

while the time shifted output would be

$$x(t - t_0 - 2) + x(2 - (t - t_0)) = x(t - t_0 - 2) + x(2 - t + t_0),$$

which is not the same so this system is *not* time invariant. This system is linear. This system is not causal since it depends on $x(2 - t)$ which for sufficiently negative time requires knowledge of $x(t)$ for $t > 0$. This system is stable since if $x(t)$ is bounded then

$$|y(t)| \leq 2|x(t)| \leq 2M,$$

and $y(t)$ is bounded also.

Part (b): This is linear, not time invariant, memoryless, causal and stable.

Part (c): This system is linear, not memoryless, not time invariant since if we consider a time shifted input of $x(t - t_0)$ we get an output of

$$\int_{-\infty}^{2t} x(\tau - t_0) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{2t-t_0} x(v) dv,$$

when we take $v = \tau - t$. If we compare this to $y(t - t_0)$ we would get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{2(t-t_0)} x(\tau) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{2t-2t_0} x(\tau) d\tau,$$

which is not the same. This system is not causal since the output $y(1)$ depends on integrating $x(t)$ up to the time 2 which requires knowing $x(t)$ for $t > 1$. This system is not stable since the lower limit of the integral is $-\infty$.

Part (d): For the system

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ x(t) + x(t-2) & t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

We see that this system is linear, not memoryless, is causal, and is stable. To see if it is time invariant consider what the input $x(t-t_0)$ is mapped to. We see that it is mapped to the function $y(t; t_0)$ given by

$$y(t; t_0) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ x(t-t_0) + x(t-2-t_0) & t \geq 0 \end{cases},$$

while the time shifted output $y(t-t_0)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y(t-t_0) &= \begin{cases} 0 & t-t_0 < 0 \\ x(t-t_0) + x(t-t_0-2) & t-t_0 \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < t_0 \\ x(t-t_0) + x(t-t_0-2) & t \geq t_0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Since these are not the same this system is not time invariant.

Part (e): For this system we can easily see that it is not memoryless, it is causal, and stable. I claim that this system is non-linear. To see this first note that the output to the input $x_1(t) = 1$ is $y_1(t) = 2$ since $x_1(t)$ is everywhere positive. Next note that the output to the input $x_2(t) = -2$ is $y_2(t) = 0$ since $x_2(t)$ is everywhere negative. If the system was linear then the output to the combined system $x_1(t) + x_2(t)$ should be $y_1(t) + y_2(t) = 2$. The input to this combined system $x_1(t) + x_2(t) = -1$, however, maps to the zero function, showing that this system is not linear. To see if this system is time invariant consider the output to the input $x(t-t_0)$, which is

$$y(t; t_0) = \begin{cases} 0 & x(t-t_0) < 0 \\ x(t-t_0) + x(t-t_0-2) & x(t-t_0) \geq 0 \end{cases},$$

which is equal to $y(t)$ shifted by t_0 to the right showing that this system is time invariant.

Part (f): It is easy to see that this system is not memoryless, is linear and is stable. The system is not causal since the value of $y(-3)$ depends on the value of $x(-1)$ which indexes a point in time $t = -1$ greater than -3 . To see if it is time invariant consider the output of $x(t-t_0)$, which is $x(\frac{t}{3} - t_0)$.

This is to be compared to the shifted output which is $x(\frac{t-t_0}{3})$. Since these two are not equal the system is *not* time invariant.

Part (g): We can see that this system is linear, not necessarily bounded as the input $x(t) = \sqrt{t}$ demonstrates, is memoryless, is causal, and its time invariant.

Problem 1.28 (some properties of discrete systems)

Part (a): This system is not memoryless, not causal, is linear and is stable. To see if it is time invariant consider the output of the input $x[n - n_0]$, which is given by

$$x[-n - n_0].$$

This is to be compared to the time-shifted output which is given by

$$x[-(n - n_0)] = x[-n + n_0],$$

since these two expressions are not equal this system is not time invariant.

Part (b): This system is not memoryless, is time invariant, is linear, is causal and is stable. To show that this system is time invariant consider the output to the input $x[n - n_0]$, which would be

$$x[n - 2 - n_0] - 2x[n - 8 - n_0],$$

while the time shifted output is

$$x[n - n_0 - 2] - 2x[n - n_0 - 8],$$

which is the same showing that the system is time invariant.

Part (c): This system is memoryless, it is not time invariant, it is linear, it is causal, but not stable since

$$|y[n]| \leq Cn,$$

grows linearly as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Part (d): For the system $y[n] = \mathcal{E}v\{x[n - 1]\} = \frac{1}{2}(x[n - 1] + x[-n - 1])$, we see that this system is not memoryless, is linear, is stable, and is not causal. To see if it is time invariant consider the output to $x[n - n_0]$, where we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(x[n - 1 - n_0] + x[-n - 1 - n_0]).$$

The time shifted output $y[n - n_0]$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}(x[n - n_0 - 1] + x[-(n - n_0) - 1]) = \frac{1}{2}(x[n - n_0 - 1] + x[-n + n_0 - 1]).$$

Since these two expressions are not the same we conclude that the system is not time invariant.

Part (e): For the system

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} x[n] & n \geq 1 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ x[n + 1] & n \leq -1 \end{cases},$$

this system is linear, not memoryless since when $n \leq -1$ the output $y[n]$ depends on $x[n + 1]$ which is in the future. This system is not time invariant since the input $\delta[n]$ becomes the output 0, while the input $\delta[n - 1]$ gives the output

$$S\{\delta[n - 1]\} = \begin{cases} 0 & n \geq 1 \\ 1 & n = 1 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ 1 & n = -1 \\ 0 & n \leq -2 \end{cases},$$

which is not equal to the the zero function (the output of $\delta[n]$ shifted by one). This system is not causal and it is stable.

Part (f): For the function

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} x[n] & n \geq 1 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ x[n] & n \leq -1 \end{cases}.$$

This system is linear, memoryless, causal, and stable. To see if it is time invariant lets consider the time-shifted input $x[n] = \delta[n - 1]$. The output of this system to this signal is

$$S\{\delta[n - 1]\} = \begin{cases} 0 & n \geq 2 \\ 1 & n = 1 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ 0 & n \leq -1 \end{cases},$$

while the time shifted result of an input $x[n] = \delta[n]$ would be

$$S\{\delta[n]\}[n-1] = \begin{cases} \delta[n] & n-1 \geq 1 \\ 0 & n-1 = 0 \\ \delta[n] & n-1 \leq -1 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & n \geq 2 \\ 0 & n = 1 \\ 1 & n = 0 \\ 0 & n \leq -1 \end{cases},$$

which are not the same functions showing that the system is not time invariant.

Part (g): For the system $y[n] = x[4n+1]$ this system is linear, not memoryless, not causal, and stable. This system is also not time invariant. To show this later fact let the input to the system be $x[n] = \delta[n-1]$. Then the output is

$$S\{\delta[n-1]\} = \delta[4n+1-1] = \delta[4n] = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq 0 \\ 1 & n = 0 \end{cases}.$$

While the shift of the output to an input of $\delta[n]$ would be

$$S\{\delta[n]\}[n-1] = \delta[4(n-1)+1] = \delta[4n-4+1] = \delta[4n-3] = 0,$$

for all n . Since these two are not equal the given system is not time invariant.

Problem 1.29 (linearity and homogeneity)

Part (a): I claim that the system $y[n] = \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi n}{4}}x[n]\}$ is additive. To show this we want to show that for any two inputs $x_1[n]$ and $x_2[n]$ we have

$$\mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}x_1[n]\} + \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}x_2[n]\} = \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}(x_1[n] + x_2[n])\}. \quad (4)$$

To show this define $x_3[n] \equiv x_1[n] + x_2[n]$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}x_3[n]\} &= \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}(\mathcal{R}e\{x_3[n]\} + j\mathcal{I}m\{x_3[n]\})\} \\ &= \mathcal{R}e\{(\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n) + j\sin(\frac{\pi}{4}n)(\mathcal{R}e\{x_3[n]\} + j\mathcal{I}m\{x_3[n]\}))\} \\ &= \mathcal{R}e\{\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n)\mathcal{R}e\{x_3[n]\} - \sin(\frac{\pi}{4}n)\mathcal{I}m\{x_3[n]\} \\ &\quad + j\sin(\frac{\pi}{4}n)\mathcal{R}e\{x_3[n]\} + j\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n)\mathcal{I}m\{x_3[n]\}\} \\ &= \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}n)\mathcal{R}e\{x_3[n]\} - \sin(\frac{\pi}{4}n)\mathcal{I}m\{x_3[n]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}n\right)\mathcal{R}e\{x_1[n]\} - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}n\right)\mathcal{I}m\{x_1[n]\} \\
&+ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}n\right)\mathcal{R}e\{x_2[n]\} - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}n\right)\mathcal{I}m\{x_2[n]\} \\
&= \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}x_1[n]\} + \mathcal{R}e\{e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}n}x_2[n]\},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore this system is additive.

Part (b-i): This system can be shown to be homogeneous but it is not additive as the input $x(t) = x_1(t) + x_2(t) = at + bt$ would show.

Part (b-ii): This system can be shown to be homogeneous but it is not additive as the input $x[n] = x_1[n] + x_2[n]$ with $x_1[n] = n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_2[n] = n - \frac{1}{2}$ would show.

Problem 1.30 (some system inverses)

Part (a): An inverse for this system is given by $y(t) = x(t + 4)$ as can be checked by functional composition.

Part (b): This system is not invertible due to the many-to-one nature of the cosign function. For example, let $x_1(t) = \pi$ and $x_2(t) = -\pi$ be constant functions, then $y_1(t) = -1$ and $y_2(t) = -1$ but $x_1(t) \neq x_2(t)$. This problem is mitigated if the range of $x(t)$ is restricted to be taken from a principal domain of $\cos(\cdot)$ say $0 \leq x(t) \leq \pi$. Then the system is invertible with an inverse given by $y(t) = \arccos(x(t))$.

Part (c): This system is not invertible since the inputs $x[n] = A\delta[n]$ for each value of A all map to the zero function.

Part (d): This system is invertible with the inverse given by the derivative system i.e. $y(t) = \frac{dx}{dt}$.

Part (e): This system is invertible since the inverse to this system can be written as

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} x[n+1] & n \geq 0 \\ x[n] & n \leq -1 \end{cases}.$$

Part (f): This is not invertible since $x_1[n] = A\delta[n]$ and $x_2[n] = B\delta[n+1]$ both map to the zero function.

Part (g): This function is invertible with an inverse given by $x[1-n]$ since under the original system and the proposed inverse an input $x[n]$ will be mapped to

$$x[n] \rightarrow x[1-n] \rightarrow x[1-(1-n)] = x[n].$$

Part (h): For the given system we can solve for the function $x(t)$ in terms of $y(t)$ explicitly thereby computing the system inverse. From the given system

we see that $y(t)$ is given by

$$y(t) = e^{-t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\tau} x(\tau) d\tau,$$

or

$$\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\tau} x(\tau) d\tau = y(t) e^t.$$

Taking the derivative of this expression and multiplying both sides by e^{-t} we get

$$x(t) = e^{-t} \frac{d}{dt} (y(t) e^t) = y(t) + \frac{dy}{dt},$$

as the explicit inverse to the given system.

Part (i): For this system an inverse can be found by considering

$$\begin{aligned} y[n+1] - \frac{1}{2}y[n] &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1-k} x[k] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-k} x[k] \\ &= x[n+1] + \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1-k} x[k] - \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1-k} x[k] \\ &= x[n+1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the system $y[n] \rightarrow x[n]$ given by

$$x[n] = y[n] - \frac{1}{2}y[n-1],$$

is the inverse of the original system.

Part (j): For this system $y(t)$ is given by the integral $y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t x(\tau) d\tau + C$, where C is an arbitrary constant. Since C can be anything this system is not invertible.

Part (k): This system is not invertible since the function $x[n] = A\delta[n]$ is mapped to the zero function irrespective of what the value of A is.

Part (l): This system is invertible with an inverse given by $y(t) = x(t/2)$.

Part (m): This system is not invertible since the domain of $y[n]$ is different than that of $x[n]$. For example this suggested mapping requires these mappings (among others)

$$\begin{aligned} y[-2] &= x[-4] \\ y[-1] &= x[-2] \\ y[+1] &= x[+2] \\ y[+2] &= x[+4], \end{aligned}$$

so we see that the odd values: $x[1], x[3], \dots$ and $x[-1], x[-3], \dots$ of $x[n]$ are not observable under this mapping. Thus this system cannot be invertible.

Part (n): This system is invertible because we can determine its inverse as $x[n] = y[2n]$.

Problem 1.31 (using LTI properties to determine system output)

Part (a): We begin by writing the signal $x_2(t)$ in terms of shifts and multiples of $x_1(t)$. We see that

$$x_2(t) = x_1(t) - x_1(t - 2).$$

From which the output to this input (since our system is LTI) is given by

$$y_2(t) = y_1(t) - y_1(t - 2).$$

Part (b): Again, we begin by writing the signal $x_3(t)$ in terms of shifts and multiples of $x_1(t)$. We see that

$$x_3(t) = x_1(t) + x_1(t + 1).$$

From which we see that the output to this input (since our system is LTI) is given by

$$y_3(t) = y_1(t) + y_1(t + 1).$$

Problem 1.32 (periodicity of time scaling)

Part (1): This statement is true and the fundamental period of $y_1(t)$ would be the fundamental period of $x(t)$ divided by two.

Part (2): Since $x(t) = y_1(t/2)$ and we are told that $y_1(t)$ is periodic with period T say then we see that $x(t)$ would be periodic with a period of $2T$.

Part (3): This is true and $y_2(t)$ is periodic with a period of $2T$.

Part (4): This is true and $x(t)$ will be periodic with a period of $\frac{T}{2}$.

Problem 1.33 (discrete periodicity of time scaling)

Part (1): This statement is true. The easy case to consider is when the period of $x[n]$ is N with N is an even natural number then the period of $y_1[n]$ is $N/2$. If however N is an odd natural number the period of $y_1[n]$

could not be $N/2$ since this is not a natural number. We could, however, take the period of $y_1[n]$ to be N and obtain a periodic signal.

Part (2): This statement is false. The fact that $y_1[n]$ is periodic (and $y_1[n] = x[2n]$) means that the even components of $x[n]$ are periodic. This however does not tell us what the odd components of $x[n]$ are doing. To find a counter example where $y_1[n]$ is periodic and $x[n]$ is not periodic create a signal $x[n]$ who's odd components are not periodic.

Part (3): This is true. If $x[n]$ is periodic with period N_0 then $y_2[n]$ will be periodic with period $2N_0$.

Part (4): This is true. The signal $y_2[n]$ is the signal $x[n]$, placed on the even values of n and with zeros on the odd values of n . Thus if $y_2[n]$ is periodic then $x[n]$ has to be periodic. If N_0 is the period of $y_2[n]$, the period of $x[n]$ is $N_0/2$ since $y_2[n]$ is $x[n]$ on only the even values of n .

Problem 1.34 (some properties of even/odd functions)

Part (b): Observe that $x_1[-n]x_2[-n] = -x_1[n]x_2[n]$, showing that $x_1[n]x_2[n]$ is an odd function.

Part (c): Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}v\{x[n]\} + \mathcal{O}d\{x[n]\} &= \frac{1}{2}(x[n] + x[-n]) + \frac{1}{2}(x[n] - x[-n]) \\ &= x[n].\end{aligned}$$

From which we see that when we take $x_e[n] \equiv \mathcal{E}v\{x[n]\}$ and $x_o[n] \equiv \mathcal{O}d\{x[n]\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}x[n]^2 &= (x_e[n] + x_o[n])^2 \\ &= x_e[n]^2 + 2x_e[n]x_o[n] + x_o[n]^2.\end{aligned}$$

When we sum the left hand side of this expression from $n = -\infty$ to $n = +\infty$ because of Part (a) and Part (b) of this problem the middle term above vanishes and we get

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n]^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_e[n]^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_o[n]^2,$$

as we were to show.

Part (d): All of the manipulations for the discrete case carry over to the continuous case.

Problem 1.35 (the period of a discrete time signal)

The given discrete system will have a fundamental period N_0 that has to satisfy

$$N_0 \left(\frac{m2\pi}{N} \right) = 2\pi k,$$

or solving for N_0

$$N_0 = \frac{kN}{m},$$

for some integer k . Consider the expression $\frac{N}{m}$ for various value of N and m . To have a *fundamental* period we want to make N_0 as small as possible which requires that we look for a k that is as small as possible. This in turn requires that we factor any common multiples out of m and N . The largest multiple we could factor out of N and m is defined as $\text{gcd}(N, m)$. If we factor this number out of m and N as

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \text{gcd}(N, m)\hat{m} \\ N &= \text{gcd}(N, m)\hat{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the remaining factors of m and N as \hat{m} and \hat{N} respectively, then we get

$$N_0 = \frac{k\hat{N}}{\hat{m}}.$$

If we pick $k = \hat{m}$ so that N_0 is an integer then we get

$$N_0 = \hat{N} = \frac{N}{\text{gcd}(m, N)},$$

as we were to show.

Problem 1.36 (the period of a continuous time signal)

Part (a): For $x[n]$ to be periodic requires that there exists a N and k both integer such that

$$\omega_0 TN = 2\pi k, \tag{5}$$

or since $T_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0}$ this requires that

$$\frac{T}{T_0} = \frac{k}{N}.$$

From which we see that since the expression $\frac{k}{N}$ is a rational number a requirement for $x[n]$ to be periodic is that $\frac{T}{T_0}$ must be rational.

Part (b): From Equation 5 we see that the fundamental period N of the discrete signal $x[n]$ can be expressed as

$$N = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) k = \frac{T_0}{T} k = \frac{q}{p} k.$$

Now let p and q be expressed in terms of factors as

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \text{gcd}(p, q) \hat{p} \\ q &= \text{gcd}(p, q) \hat{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then N expressed above becomes

$$N = \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} k.$$

To have N be a fundamental period pick $k = \hat{p}$ so that

$$N = \hat{q} = \frac{q}{\text{gcd}(p, q)},$$

is the discrete fundamental period of $x[n]$. The fundamental frequency of the signal $x[n]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi}{N} &= \frac{2\pi}{q} \text{gcd}(p, q) = \frac{2\pi}{p} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \text{gcd}(p, q) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{p} \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right) \text{gcd}(p, q) \\ &= \omega_0 \left(\frac{T}{p} \right) \text{gcd}(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Part (c): The time elapsed to get the first period of $x[n]$ is determined by sampling the function $x(t)$, N times with a sampling period of T for a total time of NT . Writing this expression in terms of the fundamental period of $x(t)$ or T_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} NT &= \hat{q}T \\ &= \left(\frac{q}{\text{gcd}(p, q)} \right) T \left(\frac{p}{q} T_0 \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{\text{gcd}(p, q)} T_0, \end{aligned}$$

or $\frac{p}{\text{gcd}(p, q)}$ periods of $x(t)$.

Problem 1.37 (some properties of the cross-correlation function)

Part (a): From the definition of the cross-correlation function $\phi_{xy}(t)$

$$\phi_{xy}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t + \tau)y(\tau)d\tau, \quad (6)$$

we see that

$$\phi_{yx}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(t + \tau)x(\tau)d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(v)x(v - t)dv = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(-t + v)y(v)dv = \phi_{xy}(-t),$$

when we make the substitution of $v = t + \tau$.

Part (b): From Part (a) of this problem $\phi_{xx}(t) = \phi_{xx}(-t)$ when we switch the x and x arguments. Thus the odd part or $\phi_{xx} - \phi_{xx}(-t)$ is zero.

Part (c): From the definition of $\phi_{xy}(t)$ in Equation 6 since $y(\tau) = x(\tau + T)$ we see that $\phi_{xy}(t)$ is given by

$$\phi_{xy}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t + \tau)x(\tau + T)d\tau.$$

Let $v = \tau + T$ then $\phi_{xy}(t)$ above becomes

$$\phi_{xy}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t - T + v)x(v)dv = \phi_{xx}(t - T).$$

Finally, we see $\phi_{yy}(t)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{yy}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(t + \tau)y(\tau)d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t + \tau + T)x(\tau + T)d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t + T + v - T)x(v)dv = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t + v)x(v)dv \\ &= \phi_{xx}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 1.38 (versions of the delta function)

Part (a): Recalling the definition of $u_{\Delta}(t)$ provided in the book and given by

$$u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ (\frac{1-t}{\Delta}) & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 1 & \Delta < t \end{cases}.$$

We see that the derivative of this expression is given by

$$\delta_{\Delta}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 0 & \Delta < t \end{cases} .$$

If we evaluate the above when $t \rightarrow 2t$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Delta}(2t) &= \begin{cases} 0 & 2t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} & 0 < 2t < \Delta \\ 0 & \Delta < 2t \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} & 0 < t < \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 0 & \Delta < t \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{(\frac{\Delta}{2})} & 0 < t < \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta}{2} < t \end{cases} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\frac{\Delta}{2}}(t) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that when we take the limit $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \delta_{\Delta}(2t) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\frac{\Delta}{2}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) ,$$

as we were to show.

Part (b): For this part to this problem by using the given expressions for $r_{\Delta}^i(t)$ we will derive $u_{\Delta}^i(t)$ from its definition of an integral as

$$u_{\Delta}^i(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t r_{\Delta}^i(\tau) d\tau . \quad (7)$$

Using the derived expression for $u_{\Delta}^i(t)$ we will then evaluate $\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}^i(t)$ to show that this equals the unit step function $u(t)$. For notational simplicity, in each part of this problem we will drop the i superscript notation and write $r(\tau)$ for $r^i(\tau)$ where the i is understood.

Part (1): For the given expression for $r_{\Delta}(t)$ we find $u_{\Delta}(t)$ given by Equation 7 as

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\Delta}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\frac{\Delta}{2} \\ \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^t r_{\Delta}(\tau) d\tau & -\frac{\Delta}{2} < t < +\frac{\Delta}{2} \\ \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^{+\frac{\Delta}{2}} r_{\Delta}(\tau) d\tau & t > \frac{\Delta}{2} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\frac{\Delta}{2} \\ \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^t \frac{1}{\Delta} d\tau & -\frac{\Delta}{2} < t < +\frac{\Delta}{2} \\ \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^{+\frac{\Delta}{2}} \frac{1}{\Delta} d\tau & t > \frac{\Delta}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\frac{\Delta}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\Delta}(t + \frac{\Delta}{2}) & -\frac{\Delta}{2} < t < +\frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 1 & t > \frac{\Delta}{2} \end{cases} .$$

Using this expression we see that the limit of $u_{\Delta}(t)$ as Δ goes to 0 is given by

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & 0 < t \end{cases} = u(t).$$

Part (2): For the given expression for $r_{\Delta}(t)$ we find $u_{\Delta}(t)$ given by Equation 7 as

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\Delta}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < \Delta \\ \int_{\Delta}^t \frac{1}{\Delta} d\tau & \Delta < t < 2\Delta \\ 1 & t > 2\Delta \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < \Delta \\ \frac{t-\Delta}{\Delta} & \Delta < t < 2\Delta \\ 1 & t > 2\Delta \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

Using this expression we see that the limit of $u_{\Delta}(t)$ as Δ goes to 0 is given by

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & 0 < t \end{cases} = u(t).$$

Part (3): For the given expression for $r_{\Delta}(t)$ we find $u_{\Delta}(t)$ given by Equation 7 as

$$u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ \int_{-\Delta}^t r_{\Delta}(\tau) d\tau & -\Delta < t < \Delta \\ \int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} r_{\Delta}(\tau) d\tau & t > \Delta \end{cases} .$$

To evaluate the second integral above we need to split the region of integration into two parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\Delta}^t r_{\Delta}(\tau) d\tau &= \begin{cases} \int_{-\Delta}^t \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}(\tau + \Delta) d\tau & t < 0 \\ \int_{-\Delta}^0 \frac{1}{\Delta^2}(\tau + \Delta) d\tau - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\Delta^2}(\tau - \Delta) d\tau & t > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}(t + \Delta)^2 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\Delta^2}(\tau - \Delta) d\tau & t > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}(t + \Delta)^2 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\Delta^2}(\frac{t^2}{2} - \Delta t) & t > 0 \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

When we put this back into the total expression for $u_\Delta(t)$ we see that

$$u_\Delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}(t - \Delta)^2 & -\Delta < t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\Delta^2}\left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \Delta t\right) & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 1 & t > \Delta \end{cases}.$$

Using this expression we see that the limit as Δ goes to 0 is given by

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_\Delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & 0 < t \end{cases} = u(t).$$

Part (4): For this expression for $r_\Delta(t)$ we find $u_\Delta(t)$ given by Equation 7 in the following steps

$$\begin{aligned} u_\Delta(t) &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ \int_{-\Delta}^t r_\Delta(\tau) d\tau & -\Delta < t < 0 \\ \int_{-\Delta}^0 r_\Delta(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t r_\Delta(\tau) d\tau & 0 < t < \Delta \\ \int_{-\Delta}^0 r_\Delta(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^\Delta r_\Delta(\tau) d\tau & \Delta < t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ \int_{-\Delta}^t \left(-\frac{\tau}{\Delta^2}\right) d\tau & -\Delta < t < 0 \\ \left.\frac{-\tau^2}{2\Delta^2}\right|_{-\Delta}^0 + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\tau}{\Delta^2}\right) d\tau & 0 < t < \Delta \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} & t > \Delta \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}(\Delta^2 - t^2) & -\Delta < t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t^2}{2\Delta^2} & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 1 & t > \Delta \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

when we simplify some. Using this expression we see that the limit as Δ goes to 0 is given by

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_\Delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & 0 < t \end{cases} = u(t).$$

Part (5): For this expression for $r_\Delta(t)$ we find $u_\Delta(t)$ given by Equation 7 in the following steps

$$u_\Delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ \int_{-\Delta}^t -\frac{1}{\Delta} d\tau & -\Delta < t < 0 \\ \int_{-\Delta}^0 -\frac{1}{\Delta} d\tau + \int_0^t \frac{2}{\Delta} d\tau & 0 < t < \Delta \\ -\frac{1}{\Delta}(0 + \Delta) + \frac{2}{\Delta}(\Delta - 0) & t > \Delta \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & t < -\Delta \\ -\frac{1}{\Delta}(t + \Delta) & -\Delta < t < 0 \\ -1 + \frac{2}{\Delta}t & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 1 & t > \Delta \end{cases},$$

when we simplify some. Using this expression we see that the limit as Δ goes to 0 is given by

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & 0 < t \end{cases} = u(t).$$

Part (6): For this expression for $r_{\Delta}(t)$ we find $u_{\Delta}(t)$ given by Equation 7 in the following steps

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\Delta}(t) &= \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{2\Delta} e^{\tau/\Delta} d\tau & t < 0 \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{2\Delta} e^{\tau/\Delta} d\tau + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2\Delta} e^{-\tau/\Delta} d\tau & t > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} e^{t/\Delta} & t < 0 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t/\Delta} & 0 < t \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

when we simplify some. Using this expression we see that the limit as Δ goes to 0 is given by

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & 0 < t \end{cases} = u(t).$$

Problem 1.39 (the product of singular functions)

Recall the definition of $u_{\Delta}(t)$ of

$$u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta}t & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 1 & t > \Delta \end{cases},$$

we have that $u_{\Delta}(0) = 0$ so using $u_{\Delta}(t)\delta(t) = u_{\Delta}(0)\delta(t)$ we see that

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(t)\delta(t) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(0)\delta(t) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0.$$

Next consider the product of $u_{\Delta}(t)\delta_{\Delta}(t)$, where $\delta_{\Delta}(t)$ is given by

$$\delta_{\Delta}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}u_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 0 & t > \Delta \end{cases},$$

so that that product above is given by

$$u_{\Delta}(t)\delta_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta^2}t & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 0 & t > \Delta \end{cases} .$$

It is this expression we will study. If we define this expression as the function $\tilde{r}_{\Delta}(t)$ as in Problem 1.38 we see that the integral of $\tilde{r}_{\Delta}(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^t u_{\Delta}(\tau)\delta_{\Delta}(\tau)d\tau &= \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\Delta^2}t^2 & 0 < t < \Delta \\ \frac{1}{2} & t > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta^2}t^2 & 0 < t < \Delta \\ 1 & t > 0 \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

This later expression is $\frac{1}{2}$ times a function that limits to the unit step function $u(t)$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have shown that

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\Delta}(t)\delta_{\Delta}(t) = \frac{1}{2}u(t) .$$

On taking the derivative of this expression with respect to t we see that

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt}u_{\Delta}(t)\delta_{\Delta}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) ,$$

the desired expression.

Problem 1.40 (system additively and homogeneity)

Part (a): If our system is additive since the zero function $x_0[n] \equiv 0$, has the property that $x_0[n] = x_0[n] + x_0[n]$ we see that if $y_0[n]$ is the system output to the input of $x_0[n]$ we see that $y_0[n]$ must satisfy

$$y_0[n] = y_0[n] + y_0[n] .$$

Solving this equation for $y_0[n]$ gives $y_0[n] = 0$. If our system is homogeneous then let $x_2[n] = \alpha x_1[n]$ with $\alpha = 0$. The output of our system to an input of $x_2[n]$ is given by $y_2[n] = \alpha y_1[n]$, since our system is homogeneous where

$y_1[n]$ is the output of the system to the input $x_1[n]$. This later expression is zero since $\alpha = 0$.

Part (b): An example like this is given by $y[n] = x[n]^2$.

Part (c): No, since the system may introduce a phase shift to the output. For example, if the system is given by $y[n] = x[n - 2]$ then an input given by

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} 1 & -2 \leq n \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

would have $x[n]$ zero between the times $0 \leq n \leq 2$ but the output to this input would be

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq n \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

in contradiction to the above.

Problem 1.41 (time invariant systems)

Part (a): If $g[n] = 1$ then $y[n] = 2x[n]$ and this system is time invariant.

Part (b): If $g[n] = n$ then $g[n] + g[n - 1] = n + n - 1 = 2n - 1$ so this system becomes

$$y[n] = (2n - 1)x[n].$$

The output of the time shifted input $x[n - n_0]$ is $(2n - 1)x[n - n_0]$ while the time shifted output to the input $x[n]$ is $y[n - n_0] = (2(n - n_0) - 1)x[n - n_0]$. Since these two expressions are not the same the system is not time invariant.

Part (c): If $g[n] = 1 + (-1)^n$ then

$$g[n] + g[n - 1] = 1 + (-1)^n + 1 + (-1)^{n-1} = 2 + (-1)^{n-1}(-1 + 1) = 2.$$

From this and by Part (a) above this would be a time invariant system.

Problem 1.42 (series connections)

Part (a): Yes, since a series combination is functional composition, these properties will hold.

Part (b): Not necessarily. If the first and second systems are non-linear inverses of each other the combined system maybe be linear. For example, let $y_1(t) = x(t)^3$ and $y_2(t) = x(t)^{1/3}$, represent the output produced by the two systems then their combined result is the linear system $y(t) = x(t)$.

Part (c): Assume our input signal is $x[n]$ and let $x_1[n]$ be the output from this signal after passing through system one, $x_2[n]$ the output of $x_1[n]$ from system two and finally $x_3[n] = y[n]$ the output from the three combined systems. Now from the given system definitions $x_1[n]$ is given by

$$x_1[n] = \begin{cases} x[n/2] & n \text{ even} \\ 0 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} .$$

With this $x_2[n]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_2[n] &= x_1[n] + \frac{1}{2}x_1[n-1] + \frac{1}{4}x_1[n-2] \\ &= \begin{cases} x[n/2] & n \text{ even} \\ 0 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} x[(n-1)/2] & n-1 \text{ even} \\ 0 & n-1 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \begin{cases} x[(n-2)/2] & n-2 \text{ even} \\ 0 & n-2 \text{ odd} \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

When this is fed into system three we get the final output $x_3[n] = y[n]$

$$\begin{aligned} y[n] &= x_2[2n] \\ &= \begin{cases} x[n] & 2n \text{ even} \\ 0 & 2n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} x[(2n-1)/2] & 2n-1 \text{ even} \\ 0 & 2n-1 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \begin{cases} x[(2n-2)/2] & 2n-2 \text{ even} \\ 0 & 2n-2 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ &= x[n] + \frac{1}{4}x[n-1] . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that the combined system is linear and time invariant.

Problem 1.43 (periodic systems)

Part (a): Let the period of $x(t)$ be T . Now if our system is time invariant then the output to $x(t+T)$ must be $y(t+T)$ where $y(t)$ is the output of our system to the input $x(t)$. Thus since $x(t) = x(t+T)$, by taking the system

operation on both sides of this expression gives $y(t) = y(t + T)$ showing that $y(t)$ is periodic with period T as claimed. Nothing that we have done in this problem is specific to continuous systems and can be repeated in the discrete case.

Part (b): Consider the nonlinear system with an output given by $y(t) = \cos(x(t))$. Then this system output is time invariant and the input $x(t) = t$ (which is not periodic) produces the output $y(t) = \cos(t)$ which is periodic of period 2π .

Problem 1.44 (causality in continuous-time systems)

Part (a): The definition of causality means that the output $y(t)$ at any time t depends only on the input at the present time and the past. Thus if the input signal $x(t)$ is such that $x(t) = 0$ for $t < t_0$, then $y(t)$ must be determined from $x(t)$ for $t < t_0$. Because $x(t) = 0$ when $t < t_0$ and because of our system is linear and therefore additive and homogeneous from Problem 1.40 Part (a) the output $y(t)$ must also be zero when $t < t_0$.

To prove the other direction let the statement given in the book be denoted by \mathcal{C} . Then to show that causality we can argue as follows. Consider two functions $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ that are equal for $t < t_0$. Now define $x_3(t) = x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ since $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$ when $t < t_0$ we see that $x_3(t) = 0$ when $t < t_0$. Thus by property \mathcal{C} . the output to our system from the input $x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ must be zero for $t < t_0$. Because our system is linear the output to the input $x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ must equal $y_1(t) - y_2(t)$ where $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ are the system outputs to the inputs $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ respectively. Thus $y_1(t) = y_2(t)$ when $t < t_0$, and so the output of our system when $t < t_0$ only depends on the input for $t < t_0$. Thus our system is causal.

Part (b): Consider the nonlinear system with an output $y(t) = x(t)x(-t)$. This system will have the property that if the input $x(t) = 0$ for $t < t_0$ then the output $y(t)$ will be zero for $t < t_0$ but this system is not causal when $t < 0$.

Part (c): Consider the system that has an output given by $y(t) = \frac{1}{1+x(t)^2}$. This system is causal but if $x(t) = 0$ the output is $y(t) = 1 \neq 0$.

Part (e): Consider the nonlinear system $y[n] = x[n]^2$. Then the only input that produces the zero output is the zero input. This system is not invertible however since the inputs $x[n] = 1$ and $x[n] = -1$ both map to the same value 1.

Problem 1.45 (correlation with a fixed signal)

Recall the definition of $\phi_{hx}(t)$ from Problem 1.37 where we see that the output from the described system is

$$\phi_{hx}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t + \tau)x(\tau)d\tau .$$

Part (a): This is certainly a linear system. To see if this system is time invariant consider the output to the time shifted input $x(t - t_0)$ which would be

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t + \tau)x(\tau - t_0)d\tau .$$

Let $v = \tau - t_0$ so $\tau = v + t_0$ and $dv = d\tau$ and the above becomes

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t + v + t_0)x(v)dv = \phi_{hx}(t + t_0) .$$

since this output is not equal to $\phi_{hx}(t - t_0)$ we can conclude that this system is *not* time invariant. This system is not causal since the output $\phi_{hx}(t)$ depends on integrating the function $x(t)$ for all $-\infty < t < +\infty$.

Part (b): For the output $\phi_{xh}(t)$ since it is given by

$$\phi_{xh}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t + \tau)h(\tau)d\tau ,$$

we see that this system is still linear and cannot be causal since when $\tau > 0$ we are requiring $x(t + \tau)$ which is in the future. To see if this is time invariant consider the output to the input $x(t - t_0)$ which is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t - t_0 + \tau)h(\tau)d\tau .$$

Since this is the same as $\phi_{xh}(t - t_0)$ the system is time invariant.

Problem 1.46 (a simple feedback loop)

To solve this problem we will simulate this system one sample at a time using the fact that $y[n] = 0$ for $n < 0$.

Part (a): When $x[n] = \delta[n]$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[-1] &= 0, e[-1] = x[-1] - y[-1] = 0, y[0] = e[-1] = 0 \\
 x[0] &= 1, e[0] = x[0] - y[0] = 1, y[1] = e[0] = 1 \\
 x[1] &= 0, e[1] = x[1] - y[1] = 0 - 1 = -1, y[2] = e[1] = -1 \\
 x[2] &= 0, e[2] = 0 - (-1) = +1, y[3] = +1 \\
 x[3] &= 0, e[3] = 0 - 1 = -1, y[4] = -1 \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming this pattern continues we have

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} 0 & n \leq 0 \\ +1 & n > 0 \text{ and odd} \\ -1 & n > 0 \text{ and even} \end{cases}$$

Part (b): When $x[n] = u[n]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[-1] &= 0, e[-1] = x[-1] - y[-1] = 0, y[0] = e[-1] = 0 \\
 x[0] &= 1, e[0] = x[0] - y[0] = 1, y[1] = e[0] = 1 \\
 x[1] &= 0, e[1] = x[1] - y[1] = 1 - 1 = 0, y[2] = 0 \\
 x[2] &= 1, e[2] = 1 - 0 = +1, y[3] = +1 \\
 x[3] &= 1, e[3] = 1 - 1 = 0, y[4] = 0 \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming this pattern continues we have

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} 0 & n \leq 0 \\ 0 & n > 0 \text{ and odd} \\ +1 & n > 0 \text{ and even} \end{cases} .$$

Problem 1.47 (some system block diagrams)

Part (c): For this part of the problem we are looking for incrementally linear systems.

- **Part (i):** This is incrementally linear, with the linear system is $x[n] + 2x[n + 4]$ with a zero input response of $y_0[n] = n$.

- **Part (ii):** This is incrementally linear with the linear system given by

$$y[n] = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ even} \\ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{(n-1)/2} x[k] & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and a zero input response of

$$y_0[n] = \begin{cases} n/2 & n \text{ even} \\ (n-1)/2 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} .$$

- **Part (iii):** To be incrementally linear the output of the difference between two signals must be a linear system. Consider the output difference $y_1[n] - y_2[n]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1[n] - y_2[n] &= \begin{cases} x_1[n] - x_1[n-1] + 3 & x_1[0] \geq 0 \\ x_1[n] - x_1[n-1] + 3 & x_1[0] \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &- \begin{cases} x_2[n] - x_2[n-1] + 3 & x_2[0] \geq 0 \\ x_2[n] - x_2[n-1] + 3 & x_2[0] \geq 0 \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

Now pick signals x_1 and x_2 such that $x_1[0] = 1$ and $x_2[0] = -1$ then the above difference becomes

$$\begin{aligned} y_1[n] - y_2[n] &= x_1[n] - x_1[n-1] + 3 - x_2[n] + x_2[n-1] + 3 \\ &= x_1[n] - x_2[n] - (x_1[n-1] - x_2[n-1]) + 6 . \end{aligned}$$

This later system is not linear due to the constant 6 term.

- **Part (iv):** The output from this system will be

$$y(t) = x(t) + t \frac{dx(t)}{dt} .$$

Note that this output *is* linear in $x(t)$, so we expect to be able to construct an incrementally linear system from it. One such incrementally linear system might have an output given by

$$y(t) = x(t) + t \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + 1 ,$$

with a zero input response given by $y_0(t) = 1$.

- **Part (v):** The output from this system can be given by the following composition

$$\begin{aligned}v[n] &= x[n] + \cos(n\pi) \\z[n] &= v[n]^2 = (x[n] + \cos(n\pi))^2 \\w[n] &= x[n]^2,\end{aligned}$$

from which we see that

$$\begin{aligned}y[n] &= (x[n] + \cos(n\pi))^2 - x[n]^2 = 2x[n] \cos(n\pi) + \cos(n\pi)^2 \\&= 2(-1)^n x[n] + 1.\end{aligned}$$

This is an incrementally linear system with a linear system given by

$$y[n] = 2(-1)^n x[n],$$

and a zero input response given by $y_0[n] = 1$.